City of Greenbelt

Department of Planning and Community Development

15 Crescent Road, Suite 200, Greenbelt, Maryland 20770 (301) 345-5417 Fax (301) 345-5418

Memorandum

To: Michael P. McLaughlin, City Manager

VIA: Celia W. Craze, Director of Planning and

Community Development

FROM: Terri S. Hruby, Assistant Planning Director

DATE: January 5, 2016

RE: PG/MC 110-16 and PG/MC111-16

Two land use bills, PG/MC 110-16 and PG/MC 111-16 are scheduled to be heard by the Prince George's County and Montgomery County State Delegations in mid-January. These bills will impact the Prince George's County's plan review and approval process and the permit review process. The bills are only applicable to Prince George's County, and will have direct impacts on Greenbelt.

PG/MC 110-16

PG/MC 110-16 seeks to remove the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission (M-NCPPC) from playing a role in the review of certain permit applications related to zoning and subdivision regulations. Under this bill, permit review related to zoning and subdivision regulations would solely lie with the County's Department of Permits, Inspections, and Enforcement (DPIE). Permits associated with detailed site plans, subdivision plans, recreational facilities, traffic review, natural resources and environmental planning reviews, historic work area permits, landscaping and signage would no longer be referred to M-NCPPC for review and approval, and would be reviewed and approved solely by DPIE. The bill does not specifically address building permits, but based on the list above, staff assumes that the intent of the legislation is to remove M-NCPPC staff from playing any role in permit review.

Currently, the permits noted above are referred by DPIE to M-NCPPC for review and sign off. M-NCPPC staff review the permits for compliance with zoning regulations,

City of Greenbelt

Department of Planning and Community Development

15 Crescent Road, Suite 200, Greenbelt, Maryland 20770 (301) 345-5417 Fax (301) 345-5418

While staff does see PG/MC 111-16 as possibly providing opportunities for greater public input in the development review process, staff has the following concerns/comments with regard to PG/MC 111-16.

- 1. Timing As Council is aware, M-NCPPC is working on rewriting the County's Zoning Ordinance. It should be through this forum that zoning review processes are discussed and formulated. Staff believes any legislative proposals to change the County's development review process should be tabled until the zoning rewrite is complete.
- 2. Predictability and streamlining- Over the last couple of years the County and M-NCPPC have been taking steps to streamline the plan and permit review process and to adopt policies that make for a more predictable process. PG/MC 111-16 is in direct conflict with these efforts. Expanding the role of elected officials in the development review process does not make for a more predictable process, and adds time and uncertainties.
- 3. Impact on the Planning Board's function Making the Planning Board subordinate to the District Council diminishes the function and value of the Planning Board. More clarity on how the proposed legislation impacts the role of the Planning Board, in terms of what has been its long standing function, is needed.
- 4. Process/Implementation The legislation does not address the process by which the District Council would assume its additional zoning review powers such as staffing. Clarity on this issue is needed to assess the impacts the legislation will have on the current subdivision and zoning review process.

Given the concerns/questions above, staff recommends opposition on PG/MC 111-16 at this time. Staff will monitor the bill closely and work to get clarity on the intent and full impact of the legislation.